



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trauemark Office**

L

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/215,519

8TH FLOOR

NIXON & VANDERHYE

1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD

ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714

RICHARD G BESHA

12/18/98

NOVACEK

968-76

EXAMINER

QM12/0713

YASKO JR.J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3763 DATE MAILED:

07/13/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Applicant(s) Application No. NOVACEK et al Examiner **Group Art Unit** 3763

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Response

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 DA 1/5 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day.

Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal maccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 4	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 13-29	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
© Claim(s) 13-29	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	O-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	approved ☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	ocuments have been
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bu	
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/215,519

Art Unit: 3733

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figures 1-4; Figure 5; Figure 8; Figure 15; Figure 19; Figure 24; Figure 26; Figure 32; Figure 40; Figure 43; Figure 48; Figure 51; Figure 53; Figure 55; Figure 58; Figure 59; Figure 63; Figure 65; Figure 70; Figure 72; Figure 78; respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3733

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Yasko at telephone number (703) 308-0858.

John Yasko:bhw

July 9, 1999

JOHN DIYASKO

PRIMARY EXAMINER